

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 838 of 2000

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL Sd/-

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order madeJJJJJ

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

Nos. 1 to 5 No

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

MR BY MANDAD, AGP.for Respondents.

Date of decision: 16/02/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. My.B.Y.Mankad, learned Assistant Government Pleader waives service of Rule.

Here the petitioner claims to have been in possession of lands since 1958-59. The petitioner relies upon several orders passed in similar matters by other learned Single Judges, copies of which have been produced collectively at Annexure "A" to the petition. The learned Assistant Government Pleader has no objection if the directions as given in the order dated 5.8.1999 passed by Y.B.Bhatt,J, are also given in the present petition. Hence the following directions are issued :

The petitioner will make representation/application to the concerned Collector for the purpose of granting benefit in accordance with the policy of the State Government. As and when such representation/application is made, the same shall be decided in accordance with law after hearing the petitioner. The petitioner will make necessary representation/application as aforesaid within four weeks from today, and the concerned authority will consider and decide the same as aforesaid within a period of two months from the date of receipt of such representation/application. Until such decision is taken and communicated to the petitioner, status quo with regard to possession will be maintained.

Subject to the aforesaid observations and directions this petition is disposed of. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. No costs.

m.m.bhatt